1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN DIVISION 3 4 VINH NGUYEN, INDIVIDUALLY CASE No.:CV-11-0406-DOC AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS (MLGx) 5 SIMILARLY SITUATED, 6 **CLASS ACTION** 7 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER AND 8 FINAL JUDGMENT v. 9 RADIENT PHARMACEUTICALS 10 CORPORATION AND DOUGLAS C. 11 MACLELLAN, 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	On the 22 <sup>nd</sup> day of April 2014, a hearing having been held before this
2	Court to determine: (1) whether the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and
3	Agreement of Settlement dated December 16, 2013 (the "Stipulation") are fair,
4	reasonable and adequate for the settlement of all claims asserted by (i) the Class
5	against (ii) Defendants Radient Pharmaceuticals Corporation ("Radient"), and
6	Douglas C. MacLellan ("MacLellan") (collectively, the "Radient Defendants" or
7	"Settling Defendants"), and (2) whether to approve the proposed Plan of
8	Allocation as a fair and reasonable method to allocate the Net Settlement Fund
9	among Class Members; and
10	The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the hearing and
11	otherwise; and
12	It appearing that the Notice substantially in the form approved by the
13	Court in the Court's Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing

For Notice ("Preliminary Approval Order") was mailed to all reasonably identifiable Class Members; and

It appearing that the Summary Notice substantially in the form approved by the Court in the Preliminary Approval Order was published in accordance

with that Order and the specifications of the Court;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND

- 1. All capitalized terms used herein have the same meanings as set forth and defined in the Stipulation.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation, Lead Plaintiffs, all Class Members and the Settling Defendants.
- 3. Pursuant to the Court's Order dated November 26, 2012, this Litigation was certified as a class action on behalf of all persons who purchased the publicly traded common stock of Radient from January 18, 2011 through

**DECREED THAT:** 

- 4. The Court hereby finds that the forms and methods of notifying the Class of the Settlement and its terms and conditions met the requirements of due process and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Section 21D(a)(7) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7), as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995; constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto of these proceedings and the matters set forth herein, including the Settlement and Plan of Allocation, to all persons entitled to such notice. No Class Member is relieved from the terms of the Settlement, including the releases provided for therein, based upon the contention or proof that such Class Member failed to receive actual or adequate notice. A full opportunity has been offered to the Class Members to object to the proposed Settlement and to participate in the hearing thereon. The Court further finds that the notice provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, were fully discharged. Thus, it is hereby determined that all members of the Class are bound by this Order and Final Judgment except those persons listed on Exhibit A to this Order and Final Judgment.
- 5. The Settlement is approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class. Lead Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants are directed to consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Stipulation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- The Litigation and the Complaint are hereby dismissed with 6. prejudice and without costs.
- 7. Lead Plaintiffs and the Class Members, on behalf of themselves, their current and former heirs, executors, administrators, successors, attorneys, legal representatives, and assigns, hereby release and forever discharge the Released Parties from any and all Settled Claims. Lead Plaintiffs and the Class Members, and anyone acting or purporting to act for any of them, are hereby permanently and forever enjoined from prosecuting, attempting to prosecute, or assisting others in the prosecution of the Settled Claims against the Settling Defendants.
- Each of the Settling Defendants, including any and all of their 8. respective successors in interest or assigns, hereby releases and forever discharges any and all Settling Defendants' Claims against the Lead Plaintiffs, any of the Class Members and any of their counsel, including Lead Counsel for the Class and any counsel working under Lead Counsel's direction.
- 9. The Court hereby finds that the proposed Plan of Allocation is a fair and reasonable method to allocate the Net Settlement Fund among Class Members.
- 10. In accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(7) and any other applicable law or regulation, any and all claims which are brought by any Person or entity against the Settling Defendants (a) for contribution or indemnification arising out of any Settled Claim, or (b) where the damage to the claimant is measured by reference to the claimant's liability to the Lead Plaintiffs or the Class, are hereby permanently barred and discharged. Any such claims brought by the Settling Defendants against any Person or entity (other than Persons or entities whose liability to Lead Plaintiffs or the Class is extinguished by this Judgment) are likewise permanently barred and discharged. Further, nothing in

the Stipulation shall apply to bar or otherwise affect any claim for insurance coverage by any Settling Defendant.

- 11. The Court finds that all parties and their counsel have complied with each requirement of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to all proceedings herein.
- 12. Neither this Order and Final Judgment, the Stipulation, nor any of the negotiations, documents or proceedings connected with them shall be:
  - a. referred to or used against the Settling Defendants or against the Lead Plaintiffs or the Class as evidence of wrongdoing by anyone;
  - b. construed against the Settling Defendants or against the Lead Plaintiffs or the Class as an admission or concession that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial;
  - c. construed as, or received in evidence as, an admission, concession or presumption against the Class or any of them, that any of their claims are without merit or that damages recoverable under the Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Fund; or
  - d. used or construed as an admission of any fault, liability or wrongdoing by any person or entity, or offered or received in evidence as an admission, concession, presumption or inference against any of the Settling Defendants in any proceeding other than such proceedings as may be necessary to consummate or enforce the Stipulation.
- 13. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the Settling
  Defendants and the Class Members for all matters relating to the Litigation,
  including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the
  Stipulation or Settlement and this Order and Final Judgment, and including any

27

28